



## Books.

THE UNION DOCK COMPANY OF HONG-KONG AND WILAMPOA, LIMITED.

THE Company call the attention of Ship Owners, Consignees, and Masters of Vessels to their establishments at HONG-KONG and WILAMPOA, 1869-1870.

At Hongkong the Company have the only Dock in the Harbour—a grand Dock, well-paved and of dimensions to admit ships of 3000 tons weight, and 1200 feet long.

At Wilmopo, there are shipyards, blacksmiths, boilermakers, and machinery works, and every article necessary for the repairs of Ships, Vessels, &c., are open at Ship Yards by the side of the HONGKONG DOCK, and are ready to contract for the construction of Ships, Vessels, &c.

At Whampoa the Company will take ships in general rates.

The Steam Tug "Little Orphant" can be engaged to set or turn them, at reasonable rates.

For Particulars apply to

ROB. S. WALKER,  
Secretary  
Company's Office, Queen's Road,  
Opposite the House of Trade,  
2691 Hongkong, 1869.

PORT OF AMoy.

SHIP OWNERS, AGENTS, AND COMMISSIONERS are informed that the Dock Company have undertaken to take over Port affairs, excepting of concrete charges for

REPAIRING AND SPARING VESSELS.

CLEANING AND PAINTING IRON SHIPS AND STEAMERS.

THEIR LARGEST CHAMBER DOCK, 188 feet long, on the blocks, and at average spring tides, receives Vessels of 19 to 17 feet draft.

CAVAN DOCK, 188 feet long, a Centrifugal STEAM PUMPS.

Two smaller DOCKS receive, at spring tides, Vessels drawing 12 feet.

Spars, Timber, and other Dock-yard material kept in stock.

Quarters for Officers, and a DEITY GODOWN for STORES, of Vessels under repair, at 237 Amoy. 1868.

THE CALIFORNIA DRY DOCK COMPANY, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA U.S.

NOTICE TO SHIP OWNERS, AGENTS, CONSIGNNEES, AND MASTERS.

THE Company's DRY DOCKS situated at San Francisco, U.S.A. San Francisco are now completed, and in full operation, and afford every facility for the docking and repairing of all classes of Steam and Sailing Vessels.

THE GRAVING DOCK.

Excellently situated, and built in the most substantial manner, is the following dimensions:—Extreme length, 450 feet, length of docks 400 feet, width at top 120 feet, depth 20 feet, and 100 feet at bottom, and will take in a ship drawing 22 feet, without lightening.

This DOCK is fitted with a Gated Gate, and fitted with two powerful centrifugal Steam Pumps, capable of pumping out the Dock in two hours.

THEIR FLOATING DRY DOCK.

With a load of 2000 tons, it measures 100 feet in width, 180 feet in length, and 210 feet in length, is built of the soundest Oregon Pine, thoroughly braced and bolted, and is furnished with all the requisites for dock and graving purposes. Vessels taken up at all stages of the tide.

The Company feel warranted in stating that repairs on Vessels can be made as advantageously in San Francisco, in respect to cost of material and labour, as in any other part of the world.

For particulars address JAMES J. BLOCH,  
Superintendent,  
66, 2309 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA U.S.

The Chronicle and Directory for 1869.

NOW READY.

THE Edition for 1869 is now ready for Delivery.

As you are announced, the Directory is published in The Press Company's Office, or with the Lists of Residents and Port Directories only at \$3.

Copies may be obtained at the Daily Press Office, Consigning Agents—

MESSES. D. C. COOK, J. W. WILSON, & CO., Ainsley, THOMAS & CO., Epsom, JAMES CHATFIELD & CO., Shanghai, JAMES COOPER & CO., Japan, M. L. P. FISHER, Merchants, London, Mr. GEO. STREET, 39, Cornwall, London.

NOW READY.

FOUND VESSEL OF THE TRADE PORT OF HONG-KONG, Price \$10, April 29, 1869. The Daily Press Office, Hongkong, 29th February, 1869.

The notice of the Daily Press from this office, contained in The Press of April 1869, and the last notice in the office of 1869.

The Daily Press

Hongkong, April 30th, 1869.

An ancient philosopher once observed that more respect was due to youth than to old age, as the young were to form future generations. Whether this liberal view of the subject is adopted or not in Hongkong, it is impossible to say, but certain it is that, in filling up appointments, a great deal of respect is paid to youth. It must be acknowledged that the Governor's boys display all the qualities that are to be naturally expected from juvenility. If they lack discretion, they are generally possessed of energy, and are more frequently complained of for a mischievous tendency to be meddling than for that dignified inaction which distinguishes officials of mature years.

Some of the boys have already passed through the earlier stages of their education with considerable credit, and the head-boy in Chinese, at present absent on leave, is deserving of special commendation, while the tall scion, who rides so well on his fine horse, and shows himself indomitable in his long-distance contests of the order in the school, merits considerable praise for his unflinching energy.

The younger boys, however, who do not give so much satisfaction. The one who is at present at the top of the Chinese class, is a far below the head-boy temporally absent, that considerable doubt is cast whether he is entitled to his certificate of merit, while there is a very strong feeling that the boy, who has recently been awarded a prize in the elements of criminal law and procedure, ought not to have such a prize at all. As to the boy who was awarded a prize to a man who had the most respect, the Attorney General and the right to act for this, and it would be absurdly ascend.

The Court was then adjourned until the morning of 10th, when the prisoners were to be remanded. The Attorney General remitted the cause to the Court of Appeal, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice then remitted the cause to the jury. The charge against the prisoners was simple this: the aiding and abetting of the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.

The prisoner was questioned each of these when called on to give any additional facts which he had knowledge about, and he said "I know nothing about it."

The Chief Justice, in summing up the cause, the jury was, whether the first prisoner was guilty of aiding and abetting the犯 of breaking and entering, the second of taking away again to be a child of the Crown, who had been remanded to the 17th April, prison, when he was remanded to the prison.



## Extracts.

*Correspondence of "Mrs. of the World."*  
A great deal of satire has been written and spoken lately about the alleged indecency of women to do more than read novels, talk nonsense, and dress extravagantly. Women have been depicted on the public stage, and sung about in the melody of a comic song; and it was thus that leaders of the best minds of fashionable ladies, the members of these clubs, should have been the most overjoyed at the latest appreciation of the abilities and merits of the sex, should it's something to restore them to a right position in the estimation of the world. A well-affected woman as a living "doll," a thing of little more than callousness and coquetry, with a foolish propensity for ostentation, and with a stretch of imagination, might be called to express certain things. "At the head of her own table she'd be a pretty boy who may not be only a paper boy, but a boy who is really there is a total analysis of such girls as she possess in a moment of pressure. In her typical way she has a heart, if only half-broken, and a mind, if only half-dull, and a complexion, if only half-ruined, but she has an initiation into even a minor amount of anguish is generally a tremendous affair. She invariably thinks herself worse treated than her sisters, and suffers as much, and in as much, herself."

I have before me, in a recent number of a popular paper, a series of what may be called "the social sins of the nobility." The reporter, who has evidently been a good observer, thinks that if she had a capacity for it, she likes to sit and be pitied, like a pretty bird in a cage, and that the nobility is a species of swine; or, ready there is a total analysis of such girls as she possess in a moment of pressure.

But if her husband seems tolerably well contented with the dinner, the trouble of the reporter, who has evidently been a good observer, thinking that if she had a capacity for it, she likes to sit and be pitied, like a pretty bird in a cage, and that the nobility is a species of swine; or, ready there is a total analysis of such girls as she possess in a moment of pressure.

For particulars, apply to

MR. A. MCLEOD,

At Messrs. GIBB, LIVINGSTON & CO.

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

THREE HOUSES, in very desirable

locality, situated in

THOS. W. B. BURGESS,

53, Wyndham Street,

Im 310, Hongkong, 26th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

SEVERAL COMMERCIAL GODOWNS,

No. 63, between Messrs. JOHN BURD & CO's

pecuniary & Messrs. GIBB, LIVINGSTON &

CO's Wharf.

For particulars, apply to

MR. A. MCLEOD,

At Messrs. GIBB, LIVINGSTON & CO.

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

DETAILED, Grade, GODOWN, at

W. B. BURGESS, to the water.

For particulars, apply to

MR. A. MCLEOD,

At Messrs. GIBB, LIVINGSTON & CO.

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.

THE HOUSE, No. 31, Wyndham Street,

Apply to J. A. DE CARVALHO,

1629, Hongkong, 24th April, 1869.

TO LET.

WITH IMMEDIATE POSSESSION.</p